## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

CHRISTEN WILLIFORD,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Case No. 5:16-cv-734-Oc-34PRL

ARLINGTON RIDGE RESTAURANT MANAGEMENT LLC, d/b/a Chesapeake Bay Grille and The Village Tavern,

Defendant.

## <u>ORDER</u>

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Lammens' Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 23; Report), entered on December 13, 2017, recommending that the Joint Motion for Approval of the FLSA Settlement (Dkt. No. 22; Motion) be granted and that the Settlement Agreement (Dkt. No. 22-1) be approved. <u>See</u> Report at 3. Neither party has filed objections to the Report, and the time for doing so has passed.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). If no specific objections to findings of facts are filed, the district court is not required to conduct a <u>de novo</u> review of those findings. <u>See Garvey v. Vaughn</u>, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993); <u>see also 28 U.S.C.</u> § 636(b)(1). However, the district court must review legal conclusions <u>de novo</u>. <u>See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co.</u>, 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994); <u>United States v. Rice</u>, No. 2:07-mc-8-FtM-29SPC, 2007 WL 1428615, at \* 1 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007).

The Court has conducted an independent examination of the record in this case and a <u>de novo</u> review of the legal conclusions. Plaintiff filed suit against Defendant pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. (FLSA) and Florida's Minimum Wage Act, Fla. Stat. § 448.110. <u>See</u> Complaint (Dkt. No. 2). Thereafter, the parties engaged in settlement negotiations, which resulted in a resolution of the issues and claims raised in this case. <u>See</u> Motion (Dkt. No. 22). Upon review of the record, including the Report, Motion, and Settlement Agreement, the undersigned concludes that the settlement represents a "reasonable and fair" resolution of Plaintiff's FLSA claim and the related wage claims. Accordingly, the Court will accept and adopt Judge Lammens' Report.

In light of the foregoing, it is hereby

## **ORDERED:**

- Magistrate Judge Philip R. Lammens' Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 23) is ADOPTED as the opinion of the Court.
- 2. The Joint Motion for Approval of the FLSA Settlement (Dkt. No. 22) is **GRANTED**.
- 3. For purposes of satisfying the FLSA, the Settlement Agreement is **APPROVED**.
- 4. This case is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

5. The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate any pending motions or deadlines as moot and close this file.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Chambers this 10th day of January, 2018.

MARCIA MORALES HOWARD
United States District Judge

ja

Copies to:

Counsel of Record