## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

## AL-RASHID MUHAMMAD ABDULLAH,

Plaintiff,

V.

CITY OF PLANT CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

**BEFORE THE COURT** is Plaintiff's "Affidavit of Facts in Support of Reconsideration on Construed Motion to Proceed on Appeal In Forma Pauperis, to Make Additional Factual Findings-Objecting to Order of Denial Motion for Permission to Appeal In Forma Pauperis Indigency" (Dkt. 45). The motion is DENIED.

The undersigned certifies that this appeal is not taken in good faith. While Plaintiff purports to enumerate the issues he intends to raise on appeal, he has not demonstrated "the existence of a reasoned, nonfrivolous argument on the law and facts in support of the issues raised on appeal." *McIntosh v. U. S. Parole Comm'n*, 115 F.3d 809, 812 (10th Cir. 1997) (quoting *DeBardeleben v. Quinlan*, 937 F.2d 502, 505 (10th Cir. 1991)).

DONE AND ORDERED this \_\_/O day of January, 2018.

JAMES D. WHITTEMORE United States District Judge

Case No: 8:16-cv-1182-T-27JSS

Copies to: Pro Se Plaintiff, Counsel of Record

An appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). "Good faith' has been defined as a requirement that an appeal present a nonfrivolous question for review." *Cruz v. Hauck*, 404 U.S. 59, 62 (1971). "An issue is frivolous when it appears that 'the legal theories are indisputably meritless." *Ghee v. Retailers Nat'l Bank*, 271 F. App'x. 858, 860 (11th Cir. 2008) (quoting *Carroll v. Gross*, 984 F.2d 392, 393 (11th Cir. 1993)). "In other words, an IFP action is frivolous, and thus not brought in good faith, if it is 'without arguable merit either in law or fact." *Ghee*, 271 F. App'x. at 859-860 (quoting *Bilal v. Driver*, 251 F.3d 1346, 1349 (11th Cir. 2001)).