UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

DAVID C. SUSSMAN,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Case No. 8:17-cv-186-T-27MAP

C. METCALF, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

BEFORE THE COURT are Defendants' Motion to Tax Costs (Dkt. 161), and Plaintiff's opposition (Dkt. 162). Plaintiff brought this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 20, 2018, the Court entered judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff (Dkt. 160). Defendants, as prevailing parties, seek an award of costs incurred under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54 and Local Rule 4.18 (M.D.Fla.).

Defendants seek total costs in the amount of \$155.45 under 28 U.S.C. § 1920, which sets forth the categories of trial expenses recoverable under Rule 54(d). Defendants request reimbursement for \$60.45 in copying costs (Dkt. 161-2), and \$95.00 for the court reporter's attendance fee at Plaintiff's October 10, 2016 deposition (Dkt. 161-3).

The court finds that the court reporter's fee is properly taxable. See Smith v. Conner, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56465, 2014 WL 1652419 (M.D. Fla. April 23, 2014), affd sub nom. Smith v. Warden Hardee Corr. Inst., 597 Fed. App'x 1027, 1032 (11th Cir. 2015) (taxing appearance fees); Held v. Held, 137 F.3d 998, 1002 (7th Cir. 1998) (although not specifically mentioned in 28 U.S.C. § 1920, deposition attendance fees charged by court reporter are taxable). But see Newman v. Hous.

Auth. of the City of Fort Lauderdale, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6797, at *7 (S.D. Fla. Jan. 31, 2007)

(Middlebrooks, J.) (court reporter's deposition appearance fees are not taxable as they are not "listed

in § 1920"). And Defendants' costs for copies that were required to be served on Plaintiff are

recoverable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1920(4) ("Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of

any materials where the copies are necessarily obtained for use in the case" are taxable): Desisto

College v. Town of Howey-in-the-Hills, 718 F. Supp. 906, 913 (M.D. Fla. 1989) ("Copies attributable

to discovery, copies of pleadings, correspondence, documents tendered to the opposing party, copies

of exhibits, and documents prepared for the court's consideration are recoverable."). Finally, the

court declines to deny Defendants' motion or reduce the costs on the basis of Plaintiff's indigent

status. See Harris v. Forsyth, 742 F.2d 1277 (11th Cir. 1984) (costs may be taxed against an

indigent party); Chapman v. AI Transport, 229 F.3d 1012, 1039 (11th Cir. 2000) (a non-prevailing

party's "financial status is a factor that a district court may, but need not, consider in its award of

costs pursuant to Rule 54(d).").

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Tax Costs (Dkt. 161) is GRANTED. The Clerk of

Court is directed to enter a judgment in Defendants' favor and against Plaintiff for taxable costs in

the amount of \$155.45.

DONE and ORDERED on March 22-4, 2018.

Copies to: Plaintiff pro se; Counsel of Record

2