UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

BERLENA SHEFFIELD,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 6:17-cv-273-Orl-37KRS

RODRIGUEZ GREENE, KAREN RAINFORD AKINPELU, VICKIE DYANNE ALVES and CHRISTINA DALY,

Defendants.

ORDER

This cause came on for consideration without oral argument on the following motion filed herein:

MOTION: DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITIONS

OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO STRIKE

EXPERT WITNESSES (Doc. No. 124)

FILED: January 10, 2018

THEREON it is ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.

Pursuant to the information provided by counsel (Doc. No. 123), it is **ORDERED** as follows: (1) the deposition of Dr. Raymond Pitetti shall be conducted on January 22, 2018 in Pennsylvania, at a time and place designated by counsel that is agreeable with Dr. Pitetti; (2) the deposition of Dr. Lisa Nigrovic shall be conducted the morning of January 17, 2018 in Massachusetts at a time and place agreeable to Dr. Nigrovic; and (3) the deposition of Dr. Wendy Chabot shall be conducted in Massachusetts on January 30, 2018 at a time and place agreeable to Dr. Chabot. As Attorney

Bonderud is unavailable to attend Dr. Chabot's deposition on January 30, one of his co-counsel shall

attend in his place.

As to Plaintiff's objection to Defendants' inclusion of a duces tecum for the depositions of

her expert witnesses, Plaintiff is correct that it is improper to notice expert witnesses for deposition,

as experts are nonparties who require subpoenas. See Karakis v. Foreva Jens Inc., No. 08-61470-

CIV, 2009 WL 113456, at *2 (S.D. Fla. Jan. 19, 2009). Accordingly, because the expert witnesses

have agreed to the dates for their respective depositions, the 14-day notice requirement under Local

Rule 3.02 is waived; however counsel for Defendants may not require Drs. Nigrovic or Chabot to

produce any documents other than the documents containing the "facts and data considered by the

witness in forming [her opinions]," as required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B)(ii) (which does not include trial

preparation material described in Rule 26(b)(4)). It is **ORDERED** that Dr. Nigrovic and Dr.

Chabot shall produce documents containing the "facts and data considered by the witness in forming

[her opinions]," as required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B)(ii) (which does not include trial preparation

material described in Rule 26(b)(4)) at the beginning of her deposition.

Counsel for the party taking the deposition shall provide a copy of this Order to the experts

being deposed along with a written notice of the agreed place and time of each deposition.

The alternative request to strike the expert witnesses because they are not available to be

deposed is moot.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Orlando, Florida on January 12, 2018.

<u> Karla R. Spaulding</u>

KARLA R. SPAULDING UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- 2 -