UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

ALEX SANCH	ᄔ	
------------	---	--

Plaintiff,

Case No. 6:17-cv-535-Orl-40JRK

VS.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Deputy Commissioner for Operations of the Social Security Administration, performing the duties and functions not reserved to the Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION¹

This cause is before the Court on Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Attorney Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act (Doc. No. 23; "Motion"), filed May 8, 2018. In the Motion, Plaintiff seeks an award of attorney's fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA") in the amount of \$6,870.95. Motion at 1, 6. Plaintiff represents that Defendant does not oppose the relief requested. Id. at 5.

Plaintiff's counsel indicates a total of 34.97 hours were expended by counsel in the representation of Plaintiff before the Court in 2017 and 2018. <u>Id.</u> at 10 (time sheet). Plaintiff requests an hourly rate of \$196.50. <u>Id.</u> at 5, 10. Plaintiff is seeking a higher hourly rate than the \$125 specified by statute based on the increase in the cost of living since 1996, when

[&]quot;Within 14 days after being served with a copy of [a report and recommendation on a dispositive issue], a party may serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). "A party may respond to another party's objections within 14 days after being served with a copy." Id. A party's failure to serve and file specific objections to the proposed findings and recommendations alters the scope of review by the District Judge and the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, including waiver of the right to challenge anything to which no specific objection was made. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); 11th Cir. R. 3-1; Local Rule 6.02.

the attorney's fee rate was last adjusted by Congress. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A) (permitting fee awards at rates higher than \$125 per hour upon the Court's determination that cost of living has increased). Having examined the Consumer Price Index and the representations made in the Motion, the Court concludes an increase in inflation does justify a proportionate increase in attorneys' fees.² Further, the number of hours expended is reasonable.

Plaintiff has assigned his rights to any entitlement of attorneys' fees due under the EAJA to his counsel. Motion at 8-9. Plaintiff represents as follows:

Any payment shall be made payable to Plaintiff and delivered to Plaintiff's counsel, unless Plaintiff does not owe a federal debt. If the United States Department of the Treasury determines that Plaintiff does not owe a federal debt, the government will accept Plaintiff's assignment of EAJA Fees and pay fees directly to Plaintiff's counsel.

<u>Id.</u> at 2, 6. To the extent that Plaintiff asks for the Court to order the Commissioner to accept the assignment upon verification that he does not owe a federal debt, the trend appears to be toward declining to do so, instead leaving the matter solely to the discretion of the Commissioner. <u>See, e.g., Clarke v. Berryhill, No. 6:17-cv-616-Orl-40JRK, 2018 WL 2181621, at *1-2 (M.D. Fla. May 10, 2018) (Report and Recommendation) (Kelly, J.), <u>adopted, Order (Doc. No. 31) (M.D. Fla. May 11, 2018) (Byron, J.); McDonald v. Berryhill, No. 6:16-cv-1150-Orl-37JRK, 2017 WL 2992060, at *1-2 (M.D. Fla. July 14, 2017) (Dalton, J.); <u>Cuthbertson v. Berryhill, No. 6:15-cv-2062-Orl-41JRK, Order (Doc. No. 30) (M.D. Fla. June 1, 2017) (Mendoza, J.). Accordingly, the undersigned declines to recommend ordering acceptance of the assignment.</u></u></u>

See U.S. Dep't of Labor, Inflation Calculator, http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/cpicalc.pl (last visited May 14, 2018). In computing the hourly rate adjustment for the cost of living increase, the Consumer Price Index is generally used for the year in which the services were performed. See Masonry Masters, Inc. v. Nelson, 105 F.3d 708, 710-13 (D.C. Cir. 1997); see also Gates v. Barnhart, No. 5:01-cv-148-OC-GRJ, 2002 WL 1452232, at *3 (M.D. Fla. June 25, 2002) (unpublished).

In accordance with the foregoing, it is

RECOMMENDED:

1. That Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Attorney Fees Under the Equal Access

to Justice Act (Doc. No. 23) be **GRANTED** to the extent that the Clerk of Court be directed

to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for attorneys' fees in the

amount of \$6,870.95 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d).

2. That the Motion otherwise be **DENIED**.

3. That the Commissioner may exercise her discretion to honor Plaintiff's

assignment of fees to counsel if the U.S. Department of Treasury determines that Plaintiff

does not owe a debt to the U.S. Government.

RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDED at Jacksonville, Florida on May 14, 2018.

JAMES R. KLINDT

United States Magistrate Judge

kaw Copies to:

The Honorable Paul G. Byron United States District Judge

Counsel of record