IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PANAMA CITY DIVISION

١	/	IC	H	A	ΕL	D.	S	M	Α	LL.	
	_						_				,

Petitioner,

v. Case No. 5:17cv282-MCR/CAS

JULIE L. JONES, Secretary, Florida Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO TRANSFER § 2254 PETITION

On or about November 13, 2017, Petitioner Michael D. Small, proceeding pro se, filed petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 1. Petitioner Small is an inmate confined at the Northwest Florida Reception Center in Chipley, Florida, located within this district. See id.; N.D. Fla. Loc. R. 3.1(A)(2). In his § 2254 petition, he challenges a state court judgment and sentence entered in case number 16-2007-CF-0137550-AXXX-MA by the Fourth Judicial Circuit, Duval County, Florida. See ECF No. 1.

Jurisdiction is appropriate in the district of confinement and the district of conviction. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d) (providing that state prisoner may file

habeas petition in district where he was convicted and sentenced or in district where he is incarcerated). In this case, however, because the district of conviction appears to be the most convenient and appropriate venue, this petition should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Jacksonville Division. *Id.*; M.D. Fla. R. 1.02(b)(1). See Byrd v. Martin, 754 F.2d 963, 965 (11th Cir. 1985); Parker v. Singletary, 974 F.2d 1562, 1582 (11th Cir. 1992).

It is therefore respectfully **RECOMMENDED** that the case file, including any service copies and pending motions, be **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Jacksonville Division, for all further proceedings.

IN CHAMBERS at Tallahassee, Florida, on November 20, 2017.

S/ Charles A. Stampelos
CHARLES A. STAMPELOS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

Within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this Report and Recommendation, a party may serve and file specific written objections to these proposed findings and recommendations. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). A copy of the objections shall be served upon all other parties. A party may respond to another party's objections within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Any different deadline that may

appear on the electronic docket is for the Court's internal use only and does not control. If a party fails to object to the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations as to any particular claim or issue contained in a Report and Recommendation, that party waives the right to challenge on appeal the district court's order based on the unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions. See 11th Cir. R. 3-1; 28 U.S.C. § 636.