

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
ORLANDO DIVISION

GEYSI TSIDHQIYAH EL,

Claimant,

v.

Case No: 6:18-mc-42-Orl-41DCI

US SECRETARY OF STATE and  
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF  
HOMELAND SECURITY,

Defendants.

---

**ORDER**

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on the Motion to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* (Doc. 2). United States Magistrate Judge Daniel C. Irick issued a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 4), in which he recommends that the motion be denied and that this case be dismissed.


Claimant filed an Objection (Doc. 5) to the Report and Recommendation. Therein, Claimant does not address the analysis in the Report and Recommendation, but instead, appears to question the propriety of the assigned Judges—both Magistrate and District—presiding over this case. However, Claimant’s only alleged conflict is the fact that both Judges are members of the Florida Bar. This fact does not create a conflict or give rise to a basis for recusal.

After a *de novo* review of the record, the Court agrees with the analysis in the Report and Recommendation. Therefore, it is **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** as follows:

1. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 4) is **ADOPTED** and **CONFIRMED** and made a part of this Order.
2. The Motion to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* (Doc. 2) is **DENIED**.
3. This case is **DISMISSED**.

4. The Clerk is directed to close this case.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Orlando, Florida on November 6, 2018.



CARLOS E. MENDOZA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Unrepresented Party