IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

LE SAMUEL PALMER,	
Petitioner,	
v.	Case No. 4:17cv559-MW/CAS
STATE OF FLORIDA,	
Respondent.	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO TRANSFER § 2254 PETITION

On December 5, 2017, Petitioner LeSamuel Palmer, a state inmate proceeding pro se, filed petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 1. Petitioner Palmer is an inmate at the Jefferson Correctional Institution in Monticello, Florida, which is located in this district. *See id.*; N.D. Fla. Loc. R. 3.1(A)(3). In his § 2254 petition, he challenges a state court judgment and sentence entered in case number 04-2012-CF-249A by the Eighth Judicial Circuit, Bradford County, Florida. *See* ECF No. 1. Bradford County is in the Middle District of Florida. *See* M.D. Fla. R. 1.02(b)(1).

Jurisdiction is appropriate in the district of confinement and the district of conviction. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d) (providing that state prisoner may file habeas petition in district where he was convicted and sentenced or in

district where he is incarcerated). In this case, however, because the district of conviction appears to be the most convenient and appropriate venue, this petition should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Jacksonville Division. *Id.*; M.D. Fla. R. 1.02(b)(1). See Byrd v. Martin, 754 F.2d 963, 965 (11th Cir. 1985); Parker v. Singletary, 974 F.2d 1562, 1582 (11th Cir. 1992).

It is therefore respectfully **RECOMMENDED** that the case file, including any service copies and pending motions, be **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Jacksonville Division, for all further proceedings.

IN CHAMBERS at Tallahassee, Florida, on December 11, 2017.

S/ Charles A. Stampelos
CHARLES A. STAMPELOS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

Within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this Report and Recommendation, a party may serve and file specific written objections to these proposed findings and recommendations. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). A copy of the objections shall be served upon all other parties. A party may respond to another party's objections within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Any different deadline that may appear on the electronic docket is for the Court's internal use only and does not control. If a party fails to object to the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations as to any particular claim or

issue contained in a Report and Recommendation, that party waives the right to challenge on appeal the district court's order based on the unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions. See 11th Cir. R. 3-1; 28 U.S.C. § 636.