## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

$\sim 1$	ΔDI	20	ÐΙ	<b>JBIO</b>	COI		FS
$\cup_{f}$	$\exists RL$	LUS.	πι	טוסנ	COI	ΚΚΑΙ	LEO.

	,		
	Plaintiff,		
-VS-			Case No. 3:18-cv-333-J-34JBT
AUDREY SHEPHERD,			
	Defendant.	/	

## ORDER

**THIS CAUSE** is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 6; Report), entered by the Honorable Joel B. Toomey, United States Magistrate Judge, on May 14, 2018. In the Report, Judge Toomey recommends that this case be dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution. <u>See</u> Report at 2. Plaintiff has failed to file objections to the Report, and the time for doing so has now passed.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the finding or recommendations by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). If no specific objections to findings of facts are filed, the district court is not required to conduct a <u>de novo</u> review of those findings. <u>See Garvey v. Vaughn</u>, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993); <u>see also</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, the district court must review legal conclusions <u>de novo</u>. <u>See Cooper-Houston v. Southern Ry. Co.</u>, 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994); <u>United States v. Rice</u>, No. 2:07-mc-8-FtM-29SPC, 2007 WL 1428615, at \*1 (M.D. Fla. May 14, 2007).

Upon independent review of the file and for the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Report, the Court will accept and adopt the legal and factual conclusions recommended by the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is hereby

## **ORDERED:**

- The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 6) is
   ADOPTED as the opinion of the Court.
- 2. This case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**.
- 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate all pending motions and deadlines as moot and close the file.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Jacksonville, Florida, this 5th day of June, 2018.

MARCIA MORALES HOWARD
United States District Judge

ja

Copies to:

Counsel of Record Pro Se Party