

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
FORT MYERS DIVISION

JOHN ROSS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No: 2:18-cv-514-FtM-99MRM

CRAVE OF FORT MYERS, INC., CRAVE  
MARKET & WICKED SANDWICH CO.  
and SEAN GAVIN,

Defendants.

---

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**<sup>1</sup>

Pending before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion to Approve Settlement and Dismiss With Prejudice, filed on December 20, 2018. ([Doc. 22](#)). Plaintiff John Ross and Defendants Crave of Fort Myers, Inc., Crave Market & Wicked Sandwich Co., and Sean Gavin jointly request that the Court approve the parties' settlement of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") wage claims asserted in this case. After a careful review of the parties' submissions and the court file, the Undersigned recommends approval of the proposed settlement.

---

<sup>1</sup> Disclaimer: Documents filed in CM/ECF may contain hyperlinks to other documents or websites. These hyperlinks are provided only for users' convenience. Users are cautioned that hyperlinked documents in CM/ECF are subject to PACER fees. By allowing hyperlinks to other websites, this Court does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their websites. Likewise, the Court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their websites. The Court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the Court.

## BACKGROUND

In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that he was employed by Defendants from September 2017 through May 30, 2018, as a cook, and his duties included preparing food for the restaurant and the adjoining market. ([Doc. 1 at 5](#); [Doc. 17 at 2](#)). Plaintiff claims that during the time he worked for Defendants he was not compensated for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek. ([Doc. 1 at 5](#)).

## LEGAL STANDARD

To approve the settlement of FLSA claims, the Court must determine whether the settlement is a “fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute” of the claims raised pursuant to the FLSA. *Lynn’s Food Stores, Inc. v. United States*, 679 F.2d 1350, 1355 (11th Cir. 1982); 29 U.S.C. § 216. There are two ways for a claim under the FLSA to be settled or compromised. *Id.* at 1352-53. The first is under 29 U.S.C. § 216(c), providing for the Secretary of Labor to supervise the payments of unpaid wages owed to employees. *Id.* at 1353. The second is under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) when an action is brought by employees against their employer to recover back wages. *Id.* When the employees file suit, the proposed settlement must be presented to the district court for the district court’s review and determination that the settlement is fair and reasonable. *Id.* at 1353-54.

The Eleventh Circuit has found settlements to be permissible when employees bring a lawsuit under the FLSA for back wages. *Id.* at 1354. The Eleventh Circuit held:

[A lawsuit] provides some assurance of an adversarial context. The employees are likely to be represented by an attorney who can protect their rights under the statute. Thus, when the parties submit a settlement to the court for approval, the settlement is more likely to reflect a reasonable compromise of disputed issues than a mere waiver of statutory rights brought about by an employer’s overreaching. If a settlement in an employee FLSA suit does reflect a reasonable compromise over issues, such as FLSA coverage or computation of back wages, that are actually in

dispute; we allow the district court to approve the settlement in order to promote the policy of encouraging settlement of litigation.

*Id.* at 1354.

The Court turns to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiff's Full and Final Release of Claims for Unpaid Wages ([Doc. 22 at 8-11](#)).

### **ANALYSIS**

The parties agree that there is a bona fide dispute as to whether Plaintiff was entitled to unpaid wages and liquidated damages and, if so, the number of hours he actually worked. (*Id.* at 1-2). Even though bona fide disputes exist between the parties, after negotiations between counsel and the exchange of documents, the parties decided to settle this matter. (*Id.* at 2).

Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiff's Full and Final Release of Claims for Unpaid Wages, Defendants agree to pay Plaintiff \$1,250.00 for unpaid wages and \$1,250.00 for liquidated damages. (*Id.* at 9). The parties agree that this amount is "fair and reasonable." (*Id.* at 2). Further, the parties agree that "there was no undue influence, overreaching, collusion, or intimidation in reaching the settlement." ([Doc. 22 at 3](#)).

The Undersigned finds that based upon the representations of the parties, these amounts are a fair and reasonable resolution of the claims in this action.

### **ATTORNEY'S FEES**

Defendants agree to pay Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$3,000.00. ([Doc. 22 at 9](#)). The parties negotiated the amount of attorney's fees and costs separately, and without regard to the amount paid to Plaintiff. ([Doc. 22 at 2-3](#), 4-5). As explained in *Bonetti v. Embarq Management Company*, 715 F. Supp. 2d 1222, 1228 (M.D. Fla. 2009), "the best way to insure that no conflict [of interest between an attorney's economic interests and those of his client] has tainted the settlement is for the parties to reach agreement as to the plaintiff's

recovery before the fees of the plaintiff's counsel are considered. If these matters are addressed independently and seriatim, there is no reason to assume that the lawyer's fee has influenced the reasonableness of the plaintiff's settlement." In Bonetti, Judge Presnell concluded that:

[I]f the parties submit a proposed FLSA settlement that, (1) constitutes a compromise of the plaintiff's claims; (2) makes full and adequate disclosure of the terms of settlement, including the factors and reasons considered in reaching same and justifying the compromise of the plaintiff's claims; and (3) represents that the plaintiff's attorneys' fee was agreed upon separately and without regard to the amount paid to the plaintiff, then, unless the settlement does not appear reasonable on its face or there is reason to believe that the plaintiff's recovery was adversely affected by the amount of fees paid to his attorney, the Court will approve the settlement without separately considering the reasonableness of the fee to be paid to plaintiff's counsel.

*Id.*

In the instant case, the parties reached a settlement and agreed upon the amount of attorney's fees and costs without compromising the amount paid to Plaintiff. ([Doc. 22 at 2-3](#), 4-5). Thus, the Undersigned finds that the amount of attorney's fees is reasonable.

### CONCLUSION

The Undersigned finds that the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiff's Full and Final Release of Claims for Unpaid Wages ([Doc. 22 at 8-11](#)) appears reasonable on its face. Accordingly, the Undersigned recommends that the Joint Motion to Approve Settlement and Dismiss With Prejudice ([Doc. 22](#)) be granted and the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiff's Full and Final Release of Claims for Unpaid Wages (*Id.* at 8-11) be approved.

Accordingly, it is **RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDED** that:

1) The Joint Motion to Approve Settlement and Dismiss With Prejudice ([Doc. 22](#)) be **GRANTED**.

2) The Settlement Agreement and Plaintiff's Full and Final Release of Claims for Unpaid Wages ([Doc. 22 at 8-11](#)) be approved by the Court as a "fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute" of the parties' FLSA issues.

3) If the presiding District Judge adopts this Report and Recommendation, then the Clerk of Court be directed to dismiss this action with prejudice, terminate all pending motions, and close the file.

Respectfully recommended in Chambers in Ft. Myers, Florida on January 16, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MAC R. MCCOY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**NOTICE TO PARTIES**

A party has fourteen days from this date to file written objections to the Report and Recommendation's factual findings and legal conclusions. A party's failure to file written objections waives that party's right to challenge on appeal any unobjected-to factual finding or legal conclusion the district judge adopts from the Report and Recommendation. *See 11th Cir. R. 3-1.*

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record  
Unrepresented Parties