UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

WILLIAM LAWRENCE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 8:18-cv-738-T-26TGW

ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

<u>O R D E R</u>

UPON DUE AND CAREFUL CONSIDERATION of the procedural history of this case, together with the Plaintiff's submissions, it is **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Remand (Dkt. 12) is denied. It is well-settled that a federal court has an "unflagging obligation" to exercise the jurisdiction conferred on it, "and this case presents nothing so extraordinary as to eviscerate that obligation." <u>Jackson-Platts v.</u> <u>Gen. Electric Capital Corp.</u>, 727 F.3d 1127, 1131 (11th Cir. 2013). Additionally, in light of this "unflagging obligation" to exercise the jurisdiction conferred on this Court, the Court is only authorized to defer to a parallel state court proceedings under limited and exceptional circumstances, none of which are present in this case. <u>See Moorer v.</u> <u>Demopolis Waterworks and Sewer Bd.</u>, 374 F.3d 994, 997 (11th Cir. 2004). Furthermore,

this Court is more than familiar with the principles of Florida law governing a <u>Coblentz</u>¹ agreement which is at the core of this removed case. <u>See Culbreath Isles Prop. Owners</u> <u>Ass'n, Inc. v. Travelers Cas. & Sur. Co. of Am.</u>, 151 F.Supp.3d 1282 (M.D. Fla. 2015), *aff'd*, 841 F.3d 1197 (11th Cir. 2016).

Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 13) is denied as moot. Plaintiff shall file a response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss within the time frame imposed by Local Rule 3.01(b).

DONE AND ORDERED at Tampa, Florida, on April 10, 2018.

s/Richard A. Lazzara RICHARD A. LAZZARA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<u>COPIES FURNISHED TO</u>: Counsel of Record

¹ <u>See Coblentz v. American Sur. Co.</u>, 416 F.2d 1059 (5th Cir. 1969).