

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION**

SCOTT MEIDE,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:18-cv-1037-MMH-MCR

vs.

PULSE EVOLUTION CORPORATION,  
et al.,

Defendants.

---

**ORDER**

**THIS CAUSE** is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 195; Report) entered by the Honorable Monte C. Richardson, United States Magistrate Judge, on September 18, 2024. In the Report, Judge Richardson recommends that Defendants’ Motion for an Order Directing Plaintiff to Complete Fact Information Sheet with Incorporated Memorandum of Law (Doc. 194) be granted. See Report at 2, 5. No objections to the Report have been filed, and the time for doing so has now passed.

The Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Pursuant to Rule 72, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Rule(s)), the Court “must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been

properly objected to.” See Rule 72(b)(3); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, a party waives the right to challenge on appeal any unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions. See 11th Cir. R. 3-1.<sup>1</sup> As such, the Court reviews those portions of the Magistrate Judge’s findings to which no objection was filed for plain error and only if necessary, in the interests of justice. See id.; see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) (“It does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate [judge’s] factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings.”); Dupree v. Warden, 715 F.3d 1295, 1304-05 (11th Cir. 2013) (recommending the adoption of what would become 11th Circuit Rule 3-1 so that district courts do not have “to spend significant amounts of time and resources reviewing every issue—whether objected to or not.”).

Upon independent review of the file and for the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge’s Report, the Court will accept and adopt the legal and factual conclusions recommended by the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED:**

1. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 195) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Magistrate Judge properly informed the parties of the time period for objecting and the consequences of failing to do so. See Report at 1 n.1.

2. Defendants' Motion for an Order Directing Plaintiff to Complete Fact Information Sheet with Incorporated Memorandum of Law (Doc. 194) is **GRANTED**.

3. Plaintiff is **DIRECTED** to complete under oath Form 1.977(b) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, including all required attachments, and serve it on Defendants' counsel no later than **December 2, 2024**.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in Jacksonville, Florida this 9th day of October, 2024.

  
**MARCIA MORALES HOWARD**  
United States District Judge

ja

Copies to:  
Counsel of Record  
Pro Se Parties