

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION

JAMES WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 8:18-cv-1125-T-23CPT

RICHARD NUGENT, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER**

Appearing *pro se*, James Williams sues (Doc. 1) a former employer and several government employees. Although difficult to understand, the 43-page complaint appears to allege several massive conspiracies to discriminate based on race against Williams and other unidentified African Americans. In a thorough report and recommendation (Doc. 10), the magistrate judge recommends dismissing the complaint for frivolity and for failure to state a claim, denying without prejudice William's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and denying Williams's motions to "change venue." More than fourteen days after the report and recommendation, Williams submits no objection.

The report and recommendation (Doc. 10) is **ADOPTED**. The complaint (Doc. 2) is **DISMISSED** for failure to state a claim, the motion (Doc. 2) for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, and the motions

(Docs. 3 and 6) to “change venue” are **DENIED**. No later than **AUGUST 31, 2018**, Williams may amend the complaint.\* If Williams elects to amend the complaint, Williams must move again for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The failure to timely amend the complaint will result in the dismissal of this action without further notice for failure to prosecute.

ORDERED in Tampa, Florida, on August 2, 2018.



---

STEVEN D. MERRYDAY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

\* If Williams prefers to litigate elsewhere, Williams can voluntarily dismiss this action under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and can sue in a different venue.