# United States District Court Middle District of Florida Orlando Division

STEPHEN LEONARD GUARDINO, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No. 6:18-cv-1504-Orl-41GJK

STEWART-MARCHMAN-ACT BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE,

Defendant.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This cause came on for consideration without oral argument on the following motion:

MOTION: APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT

WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Doc. No. 2)

FILED: September 12, 2018

THEREON it is RECOMMENDED that the motion be DENIED and the case be DISMISSED.

On September 12, 2018, pro se plaintiff Stephen Leonard Guardino, Jr., filed a Complaint and Request for Injunction (the "Complaint"). Doc. No. 1. Also on September 12, 2018, Plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (the "Motion"). Doc. No. 2.

### I. APPLICABLE LAW

The United States Congress requires the district court to review a civil complaint filed *in* forma pauperis and dismiss any such complaint that is frivolous, malicious or fails to state a claim. 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The mandatory language of 28 U.S.C. § 1915 applies to all proceedings *in forma pauperis*. Section 1915(e)(2) provides:

Notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that --

- (A) the allegation of poverty is untrue; or
- (B) the action or appeal --
  - (i) is frivolous or malicious;
  - (ii) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted; or
  - (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief.

The Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida also govern proceedings *in forma pauperis*. Pursuant to Local Rule 4.07(a), the Clerk dockets, assigns to a judge, and then transmits to the judge cases commenced *in forma pauperis*. The district court assigns to United States Magistrate Judges the supervision and determination of all civil pretrial proceedings and motions. Local Rule 6.01(c)(18). With respect to any involuntary dismissal or other final order that would be appealable if entered by a district judge, the United States Magistrate Judge may make recommendations to the district judge. *Id.* The Court may dismiss the case if satisfied that the action is frivolous or malicious under section 1915, or may enter such other orders as shall seem appropriate. Local Rule 4.07(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 1915A of 28 U.S.C. requires the district court to screen only prisoner's complaints. Nevertheless, the district court screens other complaints pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and Local Rule 4.07(a).

## A. Discretion Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915

Section 1915 grants broad discretion to the district courts in the management of *in forma pauperis* cases, and in the denial of motions to proceed *in forma pauperis* when the complaint is frivolous.<sup>2</sup> *Clark v. Ga. Pardons & Paroles Bd.*, 915 F.2d 636, 639 (11th Cir. 1990); *Phillips v. Mashburn*, 746 F.2d 782, 785 (11th Cir. 1984). The pauper's affidavit should not be a broad highway into the federal courts. *Phillips*, 746 F.2d at 785; *Jones v. Ault*, 67 F.R.D. 124, 127 (S.D. Ga.1974), *aff'd without opinion*, 516 F.2d 898 (5th Cir. 1975). Indigence does not create a constitutional right to the expenditure of public funds and the valuable time of the courts to prosecute an action that is totally without merit. *Phillips*, 746 F.2d at 785; *Collins v. Cundy*, 603 F.2d 825, 828 (10th Cir. 1979).

# B. Frivolous and Malicious Actions Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(I)

A lawsuit is frivolous if the plaintiff's realistic chances of ultimate success are slight. *Clark*, 915 F.2d at 639. The trial court must determine whether there is a factual and legal basis, of constitutional or statutory dimension, for the asserted wrong. *Id.* A district court should order a Section 1915 dismissal only when a claim lacks an arguable basis in law. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). Claims may lack an arguable basis in law because of either factual or legal inadequacies. *Id.* 

Legal theories are frivolous when they are "indisputably meritless." *Neitzke*, 490 U.S. at 329. Section 1915 authorizes the dismissal of "claims of infringement of a legal interest which clearly does not exist." *Id.* at 327. A complaint is also frivolous where it asserts factual

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> At least one court of appeals views the Prisoner Litigation Reform Act of 1996 as removing some of a district court's discretion and requiring dismissal if the court determines that the action or appeal is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim under Section 1915(e)(2). *Walp v. Scott*, 115 F.3d 308 (5th Cir. 1997).

allegations that are "clearly baseless," which, in turn, encompass allegations that are "fanciful," "fantastic," and "delusional." *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 32-33 (1992) (quoting *Neitzke*, 490 U.S. at 325, 327-28).

### D. Pro Se Plaintiff

A pro se plaintiff "is subject to the relevant law and rules of the court, including the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." *Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989). If Plaintiff continues pro se, he must abide by the Local Rules for the Middle District of Florida, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the applicable substantive law.

## II. ANALYSIS

The Complaint is nonsensical, It is best characterized as a rambling diatribe with passing references to fraud, torture, chemical straight jackets, lobotomy, sexual dysfunction, an industry of death, and warfare neurotoxins. Doc. No. 1. There are no jurisdictional allegations or facts supporting a cause of action.

The Complaint violates Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8, as it does not contain a short and plain statement that establishes a right to relief. It is also frivolous. The allegations in the Complaint are fanciful, fantastic, and delusional. As the Complaint is frivolous and fails to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8, it is recommended that the Motion be denied and that the Complaint be dismissed without prejudice.

### III. CONCLUSION

Based on the forgoing, it is **RECOMMENDED** that the Court:

- 1. **DENY** the Motion (Doc. No. 2);
- 2. **DISMISS** the case without prejudice; and

3. Direct the Clerk to close the case.

# **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

A party has fourteen days from this date to file written objections to the Report and Recommendation's factual findings and legal conclusions. Failure to file written objections waives that party's right to challenge on appeal any unobjected-to factual finding or legal conclusion the district judge adopts from the Report and Recommendation. 11th Cir. R. 3-1.

**RECOMMENDED** in Orlando, Florida, on September 25, 2018.

GREGORY J. KELLY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies to:

Presiding District Judge Unrepresented party