## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

**CARMINE BYNES,** 

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 5:19-cv-231-Oc-30PRL

BARRY SMITH and THE LYSAL GROUP, INC

Defendants.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION<sup>1</sup>

On May 10, 2019, Plaintiff Carmine Bynes initiated this action against Defendant Barry Smith and the Lysal Group, Inc. claiming that Smith stole \$1,850.00 that Plaintiff had given him to pay fees at the Department of Motor Vehicle and for rent. In the instant motion (Doc. 2), Plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*. As discussed below, Plaintiff's motion should be denied and the Complaint should be dismissed because the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the claims.

An individual may be allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis* if he declares in an affidavit that he "is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). However, before a plaintiff is permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the Court is obligated to review the complaint to determine whether it is frivolous, malicious, "fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted[,]" or ... "seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Within 14 days after being served with a copy of the recommended disposition, a party may file written objections to the Report and Recommendation's factual findings and legal conclusions. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); Local Rule 6.02. A party's failure to file written objections waives that party's right to challenge on appeal any unobjected-to factual finding or legal conclusion the district judge adopts from the Report and Recommendation. *See* 11th Cir. R. 3-1.

relief." *Id.* § 1915(e)(2). If the complaint is deficient, the Court is required to dismiss the suit *sua sponte*. *Id.* 

Jurisdiction is a threshold issue in any case pending in United States district court. Indeed, federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, which are "empowered to hear only those cases within the judicial power of the United States as defined by Article III of the Constitution,' and which have been entrusted to them by a jurisdictional grant authorized by Congress." *Univ. of So. Ala. v. American Tobacco Co.*, 168 F.3d 405, 409 (11th Cir.1999) (quoting *Taylor v. Appleton*, 30 F.3d 1365, 1367 (11th Cir.1994)). "[A] court must zealously insure that jurisdiction exists over a case, and should itself raise the question of subject matter jurisdiction at any point in the litigation where a doubt about jurisdiction arises." *Smith v. GTE Corp.*, 236 F.3d 1292, 1299 (11th Cir.2001). This inquiry should be done at the earliest stage in the proceedings and *sua sponte* whenever subject matter jurisdiction may be lacking. *University of S. Ala. v. American Tobacco Co.*, 168 F.3d 405, 410 (11th Cir.1999). "[O]nce a court determines that there has been no [jurisdictional] grant that covers a particular case, the court's sole remaining act is to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction." *Morrison v. Allstate Indem. Co.*, 228 F.3d 1255, 1261 (11th Cir.2000).

Federal jurisdiction is based on either diversity of citizenship jurisdiction or federal question jurisdiction. Diversity of citizenship jurisdiction requires that the action be "between ... citizens of different States...." and the matter in controversy must exceed the sum or value of \$75,000.00. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). Here, there are no allegations regarding the citizenship of the parties. Moreover, Plaintiff simply seeks the return of \$1,850.00, which falls well short of the requisite amount in controversy. Accordingly, diversity of citizenship jurisdiction does not exist. Likewise, federal question jurisdiction does not exist because Plaintiff's claim for theft (or perhaps

characterized as a claim for breach of contract) does not arise under the Constitution, federal law, or treaties of the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 2) should be denied and his Complaint should be dismissed.

Recommended in Ocala, Florida on May 20, 2019.

PHILIP R. LAMMENS

United States Magistrate Judge

Copies furnished to:

Presiding District Judge Counsel of Record Unrepresented Party Courtroom Deputy