

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION**

TAQUILA HUTSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 8:23-cv-1653-WFJ-NHA

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY,

Defendant.

---

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Plaintiff's complaint seeking judicial review of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration's ("Commissioner") decision denying supplemental security income (Dkt. 1). The magistrate judge issued a report recommending that the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed (Dkt. 17). The time for filing objections has passed.

After an independent review of the record, the Court may accept, reject, or modify the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court reviews the legal conclusions *de novo* in the absence of an objection. *See LeCroy v. McNeil*, 397 F. App'x 554, 556 (11th Cir. 2010) (citation omitted); *Cooper-Houston v. S. Ry. Co.*, 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994).


Having conducted an independent review of the record, the Court agrees with Judge Adams' thorough and well-reasoned report and recommendation. It is therefore **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** as follows:

1) The report and recommendation (Dkt. 17) is approved, confirmed, and adopted in all respects and is made a part of this order.

2) The Commissioner's decision denying supplemental security income to Plaintiff is affirmed.

3) The Clerk is directed to enter final judgment in favor of the Commissioner, terminate any pending motions, and close the case.

**DONE AND ORDERED** at Tampa, Florida, on May 21, 2024.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**WILLIAM F. JUNG**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

**COPIES FURNISHED TO:**  
Counsel of record