UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

JOHN DUFFY,

v.

Case No. 8:23-cv-2604-WFJ-NHA

TOM MAGILLIGAN, EDWARD HAAB, USPS, MIKE DAIGNAULT, and ROBERT CARLTON,

Defendants.	
	,

<u>ORDER</u>

This cause comes before the Court on Plaintiff's application to proceed *in* forma pauperis (Dkt. 2) and the complaint (Dkt. 1). The United States Magistrate Judge issued a report recommending that the motion be denied without prejudice and that the complaint be dismissed with leave to file an amended complaint. Dkt. 8. The time for filing objections has passed.

The Court reviews the legal conclusions *de novo* in the absence of an objection. *See LeCroy v. McNeil*, 397 F. App'x 554, 556 (11th Cir. 2010) (citation omitted); *Cooper-Houston v. S. Ry. Co.*, 37 F.3d 603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994). The magistrate judge found that the complaint (Dkt. 1) and the "Notice to the Court" (Dkt. 7) neither allege sufficient facts to state a legal claim nor demonstrate a basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction. After conducting an independent

examination of the file, the Court agrees with the well-reasoned Report and

Recommendation and rules as follows:

1. The Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 8) is adopted, confirmed, and

approved in all respects (with one exception—Plaintiff will be allowed thirty (30)

days to comply), and made a part of this order.

2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt. 2) is denied without

prejudice.

3. Plaintiff's complaint (Dkt. 1) is dismissed without prejudice. If Plaintiff

wishes to proceed, he must file an amended complaint and motion to proceed in

forma pauperis in accordance with the Report and Recommendation within thirty

(30) days. The amended complaint must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure and set forth the basis for federal jurisdiction supported by factual

allegations. If an amended complaint is not timely filed, this case will be

dismissed and closed without further notice.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tampa, Florida, on April 3, 2024.

WILLIAM F. JUNG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

COPIES FURNISHED TO:

Plaintiff, pro se

2